

United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,827	01/23/2002	Philipp Ritter	TRW(REPA)6028	7790
26294	7590 02/17/2004		EXAMINER	
	SUNDHEIM, COVEL	SINGH,	SINGH, ARTI R	
	526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			PAPER NUMBER
	,		. 1771	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/054,827	RITTER, PHILIPP				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ms. Arti Singh	1771 ·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time, within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
					Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-18</u> is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-13,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/02& 07/02. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group 1, claims 1-12, 19 and 20 in the paper sent in on 09/29/2003 is acknowledged. Because Applicant did not distinctly traverse and specifically point out the errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03 (a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 10, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5576839 issued to Dischler.
- 4. USPN 5,576,839 issued to Dischler et al discloses a fabric and articles formed it having improved ability to dissipate the kinetic energy of a moving object such as an airbag (column 2. lines 38- onwards). It is a feature of the present invention to provide a fabric and articles formed therefrom comprising high strength, high modulus polymeric fibers or yarns coated with a powder which modifies the coefficient of friction between the fibers or yarns during an impact event (column 2, lines 45-54). It is a further feature of the present invention to provide a fabric and articles formed therefrom at least partially coated with a finish comprising a powder which exhibits dilatant properties around the point of impact when hit by a moving article. Such powder is preferably nonlaminar and more preferably characterized by fractal dimensionality. According to one aspect of the present invention, a fabric for dissipating the kinetic energy of a moving object is provided. The fabric is formed by an

Art Unit: 1771

arrangement of high tenacity polymer fibers. The fibers preferably have a tenacity of at least 15 grams/denier and a tensile modulus of about 300 grams/denier. The fibers are at least partially covered with a dilatant powder of nonlaminar dimensions. Such dilatant powder may be entrapped between the fibers, bound to the fibers or simply adhered by electrostatic forces. According to a more particular aspect of the present invention, a protective encasement of fiber material is provided. The fiber material comprises a plurality of high tenacity polymer fibers formed into a knitted, woven, or nonwoven fabric structure wherein at least a portion of the fibers are coated with a finish containing a dilatant powder of nonlaminar dimensions. In this application the word "dilatant" is not used in its usual sense to refer to a shear thickening fluid, but refers herein to a powder which solidifies under pressure, where said powder is understood to refer to a collection of amalgamated or loose discreet solid particles having a mean diameter preferably ranging from about 1 nm to about 1 mm. Such discreet solid particles are preferably substantially nonlaminar in geometry having 1, 3 or more preferably noninteger (i.e. fractal) dimensionality (column 2, line 54column 4, line 5). Any number of methods may be used to apply the powder to the fabric. By way of example only and not limitation, the powder may be precipitated or crystallized within the yarn structure where it is mechanically trapped by the fibers comprising the yarns. The powder may be applied directly to the face of the fabric, where some powders will remain reasonably well attached owing to electrostatic attraction. Powder applied to the fabric face may be forced into the yarn structure by needling, where it remains mechanically entrapped. Some powders, if sufficiently fine, will adhere directly to the fiber surface. The powder may be dispersed in a liquid medium and applied in the manner of a pigment dyestuff, then dried. A finish may be applied comprising a powder and a polymeric binding agent, such as a fluorinated water-repellent, where the binding agent weakly bonds the

Application/Control Number: 10/054,827

Art Unit: 1771

powder to the fabric. The finish may be applied first and the powder subsequently. For the lighter powders, effective add-ons can be 2% or less based on the weight of the fabric (Column 5, lines 12-29). In the working Examples specifically 2 and 6 the use of amorphous silica and silica are employed (columns 5 and 6).

With regard to the limitation of claim 5 where Applicant desires the use of a polyamide, this limitation is meet in the background of the invention where it states that such fibers are employed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,576,839 Dischler.
- 7. Dischler et al teach what is set forth above, but do not explicitly teach the use of polyester as the chosen fiber of claim 6, nor to the fact that the fabric is uncoated, claim 8. As per the use of polyester for the fiber the Examiner takes the position that substituting one well known and used fiber such as polyester is well within the level of one ordinary skilled in the art, and a skilled artisan would have sought to use polyester as the chosen fiber merely by the fact that is easily available and cheaper. With regard to leaving the formulated airbag fabric uncoated, the Examiner takes the position that using the dilatants would not require the use of an additional coating layer and yet the airbag would still remain impermeable. Thus a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have

Art Unit: 1771

found it obvious not to have applied an additional coating to the airbag fabric as the dilatants alone would close up any interstices that would have been present.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 11 & 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ms. Arti Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Ars \(\triangle \)
1/21/04